

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,852	12/14/2000	Axel Schamal	225/49355	5694	
23911	7590 10/06/2004		EXAM	INER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			REIS, TRAVIS M		
P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200-	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/674,852	SCHAMAL, AXEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Travis M Reis	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHs tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	July 2004.					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	∧ □	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/674,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Reopening of Prosecution After Appeal

In view of the appeal brief filed on 17 November 2002, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY
 REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (a) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (b) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, & 6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnston (U.S. Patent 4907929) in view of Morse (U.S. Patent 2962317).

Johnston discloses a device comprising a spike (16) for fitting into a hole, and an attachment element (20) which is releasably connectable to the spike and, with the spike fitted into the hole, rests on the component surface (12) surrounding the hole (Figures 2-4); wherein the attachment element has an essentially hemispherical shell made of a non-magnetic material (col. 4 lines 20-22) and an insert (18) arranged within the shell and releasably connectable to the spike by a screw thread (19) on the upper part of said spike which passes through the insert and screws into the shell (Figure 4); wherein a lower edge of the shell bears substantially flush against a lower side of the insert (Figure 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,852

Art Unit: 2859

Johnston does not disclose the insert is made of magnetic material.

Morse discloses a magnetic nut (10) which is permanently magnetized (col. 1 lines 43-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to replace the insert disclosed with Johnston with the magnetic nut disclosed by Morse in order to solidly secure the device against component surfaces that are made of metal.

With respect to the preamble of the claims 1, 3, 4 and 6: the preamble of the claim does not provide enough patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self – contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

4. Claims 5, 8-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson & Morse as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, & 6 above, and further in view of Holmes (U.S. Patent 4220187).

Johnson & Morse disclose all of the instant claimed invention as stated above in the rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, & 6, but do not disclose expressly a spike fastened to the attachment element in an asymmetrical manner with respect thereto.

Holmes discloses a self-locking fastener with an attachment element/nut (12) to which the bolt (10) fastens to in an asymmetrical manner with respect thereto (Figures 1-3, 5, & 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to make the inset/nut taught by Johnson & Morse an asymmetric insert/nut disclosed by Holmes in order that the inset/nut could be locked in place and immovable.

P

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, & 8-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Travis M Reis whose telephone number is (571) 272-2249. The examiner can normally be reached on 8–5 M--F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Travis M Reis Examiner Art Unit 2859

tmr October 1, 2004 Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800